## Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)

Docket No. TRM A2596DIV2

in Re Application Of: John MacDonald, et al.

Application No. 10/797,247	Filing Date March 10, 1004	Examiner Sandra M. Rayford	Customer No. 32407	Group Art Unit 1772	Confirmation No. 5381
----------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Invention:

Double-Cast Slush Molding Method And Apparatus

Attention: Office of Petitions
Mail Stop Petition
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

NOTE: If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions Information at (571) 272-3282.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timety and proper response to a notice or action by the Patient and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extension of time actually obtained.

#### APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

NOTE: A grantable petition requires the following items:

- (1) Petition fee;
- (2) Reply and/or issue fee;
- (3) Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee-required for all utility and plant applications filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and
- (4) Statement that the entire delay was unintentional.
- 1. A proposed reply to the above-identified notice or action:

	⊠ is enclosed.
	The proposed reply is in the form of: Amendment B (14 pgs)
2. 🗆	The issue fee:
	☐ is enclosed. ☐ was paid on
B. ⊠	The abandoned application was a:
	☐ design application. ☑ utility application. ☐ plant application.
l. 🗆	A terminal disclaimer (and fee) disclaiming a period equivalent to the period of abandonment is enclosed.

[Page 1 of 3]

5. Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

# Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)

Docket No. TRM A2596DIV2

In Re Application	Of: John MacDonald,	et al			
Application No. 10/797,247	Filing Date March 10, 1004	Examiner Sandra M. Rayford	Customer No. 32407	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No
Invention: Doub	le-Cast Slush Molding N	Method And Apparatus			
		Calculation and Paymen	t of Fees		
Enclosed are the fo	llowing fees:				
6. ⊠ Petition fe	ee under 37 CFR 1.17(i	m) in the amount of:			\$1,500.00
7.   Fee for re	ply in the amount of:				
8. 🗌 Issue fee	in the amount of:				
9.  Continuin	g application filing fee i	n the amount of:			
10.  Terminal	disclaimer fee in the an	nount of:			
11. 🗆 💆					
			Total	fees enclosed:	\$1,500.00
The fee of \$1	,500 is to be paid	as follows:			
		enclosed. o charge any fees which m	nay be required, o	r credit any over	payment, to
	aradit aard Farm DTO	2020 in attached			

[Page 2 of 3]

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

### Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)

Docket No.

In Re Application Of: John MacDonald, et al

Application No.	Filing Date	Examiner	Customer No.	Group Art Unit	Confirmation No.
10/797,247	March 10, 1004	Sandra M. Rayford	32407	1772	5381

Invention:

Double-Cast Slush Molding Method And Apparatus

#### Statement

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. [NOTE: The United States Patent and Trademark Office may require additional information if there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional (MPEP 711.03(c), Subsections (III)(C) and (D)).]

## WARNING:

Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identify theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, entitioner/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12(3(a) is made in the application) of susuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abnormed application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14). Checks and credit card authorization forms PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes are not retained in the application file and therefore are not publicly available.

Steven J. Grossman Attorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 35,001

Grossman, Tucker, Perreault & Pfleger, PLLC 55 South Commercial Street

Manchester, New Hampshire 03101 Tele: 603.668.6560

Fax: 603.668.2970

Dated: June 16, 2006

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the furnition States Probal-Service with usualization peologic as first dears main in an emission addressed to that Stay Petition Commissions for Establish, 1-to-Box-1465, Petaruntin, 74-2233-1450, 117 CFR-406) on Alek Via EFS.

June 16, 2006

June 16, 2006 (Date)

Dan Rolling Correspondence

Dana Robinson

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

cc: